



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/329,456	06/10/1999	MICHAEL PIERRE CARLSON	AT9-99-149	8115

7590 02/25/2003
DUKE W YEE
CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON LLP
PO BOX 802334
DALLAS, TX 75380

EXAMINER

TANG, KENNETH

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2127

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary

Control No.

09/329,456

Patent Under Reexamination

CARLSON ET AL.

Examiner

Kenneth Tang

Art Unit

2127

All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):

(1) Kenneth Tang

(3) _____

(2) Cathrine Kinslow

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 February 2003Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ patent owner 2) ☐ patent owner's representative)Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to..."

Claim(s) discussed: 1-28.Identification of prior art discussed: (US 6,418,542).

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant stated that "status information" referred to whether active or inactive threads. However, Applicant agreed that "status information" was not defined in the specification to indicate that. Examiner explained to Applicant how the "input event" from Yeager could be used to polling a thread for status information to one of ordinary skill in the art. Examiner also explained to Applicant how Yeager inherently teaches how information on status of the thread is used and needed to determine whether or not the thread is active from the use of condition statements.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).

cc: Requester (if third party requester)

Kenneth Tang
Examiner's signature, if required